

Appendix D3 – Section 3 Multi-Criteria Assessment Tables

Table 6.4 – Evaluation of Options for Cycling Facilities in Section 3: Clanbrassil Street & New Street South

Assessment	Assessment Sub-	Option A	Option B	
Criterion	Criterion	Quiet Street Cycle Route through Portobello	Cycle Tracks on Clanbrassil Street & New Street	
	Capital Cost	Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Existing quiet street route requiring some infrastructural intervention such as a boardwalk linking Grove Road to	Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Modifications required to kerb lines to accommodate segregated cycling facilities.	
Economy (Cost		Kingsland Parade. Land Acquisition Cost	Land Acquisition Cost	
Assessment and Transport Economic		n/a	n/a	
Indicators)	Rank			
	Journey Time Reliability (Bus)	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
Ed	conomy			
	Policy Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
	Transport Network Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
Integration	Cycling Integration	Cyclists will be required to share the some of the route with low traffic. Will require cyclists to cross major junctions to access the route. Longer route leading cyclists away from the main route towards the City Centre	Direct and shortest route for all cyclists. May require cyclists to cross major junctions to access.	
	Rank	towards the City Centre		
	Traffic Network Integration	Local traffic closure required at Heytesbury Road to divert traffic volumes away from the quiet streets.	No change to existing	
	Rank	traine verantee away from the quiet effects.		
Inte	egration			
	Key Trip Attractors (Education / Health / Commercial / Employment)	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
Accessibility and	Rank			
Social Inclusion	Deprived Geographic Areas	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
Accessibility	& Social Inclusion			
Safety	Road Safety	Cyclists will be required to share narrow streets with low traffic, increasing the potential for difficult avoidance maneuvers by vehicles due the diversion of a potentially significant volume of cyclists	Fully segregated facilities mitigating the potential for any vehicular interactions with the cyclists	
	Rank			
Safety				
	Archaeology & Cultural Heritage	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
	Flora & Fauna / Biodiversity	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
	Soils & Geology	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
Environment	Hydrology	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
	Landscape & Visual	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank			
	Air & Noise	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Rank Land Use and the Built	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	
	Environment			
	Rank			
Env	ironment	_		
	Preference Rank	2	1	

Table 6.5 – Evaluation of Options for Widening of Emmett Bridge in Section 3 - Clanbrassil Street & New Street South

Assessment Criterion	Assessment Sub- Criterion	Option A Western Footbridge	Option B Bridge Widening	Option C Two Footbridges
Economy (Cost Assessment and Transport Economic Indicators)	Capital Cost	Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Some capital cost required in the construction of the independent bridge	Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Substantial capital costs required to widen the existing bridge. Would require significant traffic management measures and road closers to facilitate construction.	Infrastructure Works Cost Factors Some capital cost required in the construction of two independent bridges
		Land Acquisition Cost n/a	Land Acquisition Cost	Land Acquisition Cost n/a
·	Rank			
	Journey Time Reliability (Bus)	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
Ec	conomy			
	Policy Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
	Transport Network Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
Integration	Rank			
ograno	Cycling Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
	Traffic Network Integration	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
Inte	egration			
	Key Trip Attractors (Education / Health / Commercial / Employment)	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
Accessibility and	Rank			
Social Inclusion	Deprived Geographic Areas	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
Accessibility	& Social Inclusion			
Safety	Road Safety	The provision of a bridge on the western side of the bridge will provide significantly improved facilities for cyclists. However, to accommodate a separate bus lane on the existing bridge the footpath widths will be narrowed.	Widening the bridge will provide significantly improved facilities for cyclists and will not require negatively impacting the existing footpath provision.	Providing two independent bridges either side of the existing bridge will provide significantly improved facilities for cyclists and pedestrians.
	Rank			
	Safety			
	Archaeology & Cultural Heritage	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
	Flora & Fauna / Biodiversity	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
	Soils & Geology	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
Environment	Hydrology	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
	Landscape & Visual	Potential visual impact for existing bridge on west side only however the proposed structure will be designed with a slender deck to minimise the impact on the visibility of the historic bridge	Widening the bridge will impact the historic canal harbour and channel by increasing the footprint of the bridge.	Potential visual impact for existing bridge on both sides of the bridge however the proposed structure will be designed with a slender deck to minimise the impact on the visibility of the historic bridge
	Rank			
	Air & Noise	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			

Assessment Criterion	Assessment Sub- Criterion	Option A Western Footbridge	Option B Bridge Widening	Option C Two Footbridges
	Land Use and the Built Environment	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options	No appreciable difference between options
	Rank			
Env	ironment			
	Preference Rank	2	3	1